

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/737,312	12/16/2003	Glenn M. Amber	15876-46037	5952
· . 75	90 12/01/2006		EXAM	INER
Brian M. Ding		HOFFBERG, ROBERT JOSEPH		
Mirick, O'Connell, DeMallie & Lougee, LLP 1700 West Park Drive			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	MA 01581-3941		2835	
		•	DATE MAILED: 12/01/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		st				
pplication No.	Applicant(s)					
0/737,312	AMBER ET AL.					
xaminer	Art Unit					
lobert J. Hoffberg	2835					
s on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
APPLICATION IN CONDITION For the same day as filing a Notice of the greplies: (1) an amendment, aft the of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply missing the same of the same o	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
the final rejection. isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In r than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN 07(f). which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee ision and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee intened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as an three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed,						
ance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of ion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since ithin the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
nt prior to the date of filing a brief ideration and/or search (see NO):		ecause				
r form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for				
rresponding number of finally re	jected claims.					
: See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
wable if submitted in a separate;	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
] will not be entered, or b) □ w ded below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of				
before or on the date of filing a N sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>n</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s s necessary and				

Advisory Action	10/737,312 AMBER ET AL.					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Robert J. Hoffberg	2835				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 17 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI	E FIRST REPLY WAS F	FILED WITHIN			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origor than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropring in ally set in the final Office.	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as			
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or 						
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121: See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).			•			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ w ovided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	is necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal.	overcome all rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ails to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	ched.			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered b <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 		in condition for allowa	ince because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
13. Other:		MICHAEL DAT PRIMARY E)				

ROH PU U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) level Dathlein 11/29/06

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding replacement sheet for Fig. 2. The applicant amended Fig. 2 to show relief volumes #50. The relief volumes erroneously have lines drawn on only three sides instead of on four sides, depicting the relief volumes #50 as extensions of electrical device #14.

Regarding applicant's argument that the Andric et al. (US 6,504,243) fail to disclose that a lower surface of the heat-conducting member including one or more relief volumes that prevent the lower surface of the heat-conducting member from contacting a top surface of one or more components of the electrical device. The examiner respectfully disagrees. Andric et al. shows a lower surface of the heat-conducting member (#56) including a relief volume (unlabeled) that prevents the lower surface of the heat-conducting member from contacting a top surface of a component of the electrical device. As illustrated, if the relief volume shown in Fig.4 on the lower surface of the heat-conducting member is omitted, then a top surface of integrated circuit #60 would contact the lower surface. First, the applicant's electrical device #14 has a rectangular central pedestal (page 6, line 17) which in prior art reference Andric et al. is an integrated circuit #60 mounted on a substrate #62. Second, applicant's claims only requires that the relief volume(s) prevent a top surface of the component of the electrical device from contacting the lower surface of the heat-conducting member, but may allow for the component to protrude into the space of the relief volume and to contact surfaces other than the lower surface of the heat-conducting member (the other than a lower surface may also be located on the bottom side of the heat-conducting member). The relief volume of the heat-conducting member of Andric et al. prevents the top surface of the component of the electrical device from contacting the lower surface of the heat-conducting member.

MICHAEL DATSKOVSKIY
PRIMARY FYAMILINGS

hal Datfung